

## Three-Dimensional Reconstruction for Medical-CAD Modeling

B. Starly<sup>1</sup> (Binil.Starly@drexel.edu), Z. Fang<sup>1</sup> (zhibin.fang@drexel.edu), W. Sun<sup>1</sup> (sunwei@drexel.edu),  
A. Shokoufandeh<sup>2</sup> (ashokouf@cs.drexel.edu) and W. Regli<sup>2</sup> (regli@drexel.edu)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science  
Drexel University

### ABSTRACT

CAD has been traditionally used to assist in engineering design and modeling for representation, analysis and manufacturing. Advances in Information Technology and in Biomedicine have created new uses for CAD with many novel and important biomedical applications, particularly in tissue engineering in which the CAD based bio-tissue informatics model provides critical information of tissue biological, biophysical, and biochemical properties for modeling, design, and fabrication of complex tissue substitutes. This paper will present some salient advances of bio-CAD modeling and application in computer-aided tissue engineering, including biomimetic design, analysis, simulation and freeform fabrication of tissue engineered substitutes. An overview of computer-aided tissue engineering will be given. Methodology to generate bio-CAD models from high resolution non-invasive imaging, the medical image process and the 3D reconstruction technique will be described. Enabling state-of-the-art computer programs in assisting 3D reconstruction and in bio-model development will be introduced. Utilization of the bio-CAD model for the description and representation of the morphology, heterogeneity, and organizational structure of tissue anatomy will also be presented.

**Keywords:** Medical-CAD modeling, 3D reconstruction, Computer-aided tissue engineering

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in computing technologies both in terms of hardware and software have helped in the advancement of CAD in applications beyond that of traditional design and analysis. CAD is now being used extensively in the biomedical industry in applications ranging from clinical medicine, customized medical implant design to tissue engineering [1-4]. This has largely been made possible due to developments made in imaging technologies and reverse engineering techniques supported equally by both hardware and software technology advancements. The primary imaging modalities that are made use of in different application include, CT/MRI, optical microscopy, micro CT, etc. each with its own advantages and limitations as described in [1]. Using data derived from these images, computer models of human joints for stress analysis, dynamic force analysis and simulation; design of implants and scaffolds etc. have been reported in published literature [5-7]. This effort to model human body parts in a CAD based virtual environment is also referred to as Bio-CAD modeling. This is a key first step in the field of computer aided tissue engineering [1,5,8] which involves the application of enabling computer-aided technologies, including computer-aided design (CAD), image processing, computer-aided manufacturing (CAM), and rapid prototyping (RP) and/or solid freeform fabrication (SFF) for modeling, designing, simulation, and manufacturing of biological tissue and organ substitutes. An overview of the CATE approach is described in Figure 1. Specifically, CATE encompasses the following three major applications in tissue engineering: 1) computer-aided tissue modeling; 2) computer-aided tissue informatics; and 3) computer-aided tissue scaffold design and manufacturing. In this respect, Bio-CAD models are necessary for the field of Computer aided tissue scaffold fabrication since the outer shape of the scaffold is determined from the CAD model structure.

Image based modeling involves three basic steps of 1) Image acquisition using any of the available imaging modalities 2) Image processing using commercially available software [9-11] which would organize and process the region of interest (ROI) from the images. 3) Three dimensional Reconstruction (3DR) of the ROI to form voxels that describe the 3D shape of the model to be used for further modeling, analysis or prototyping. Several studies have been reported in literature that has made use of 3D reconstruction to help in a better understanding of anatomical functionality and morphological analysis. The use of 3DR can also be used in surgical assessment and planning of various bone

pathologies that primarily include the hip and knee bone [12]. Successful design of custom made femoral and hip implants have been reported [13] as well as the 3DR of various maxillofacial defects and injuries [2, 8] for facial reconstruction and/or replacement. All of the above literature focus more on the application of these image based techniques and less on the actual steps involved in the process. To date there has been no reported work focused on the different methods through which a CAD model is generated from image based data. This could be attributed to the fact that CAD models were not necessary for the different applications image based modeling was used for. However, with the growth in the field of tissue engineering and customized medical implant design, this has called for the need of Bio-CAD models to perform advanced modeling and analysis. These image based technologies can be used in the design and fabrication of biomimetic tissue scaffolds [14] since obtaining the outer architecture is critical to successful implantation of these scaffolds as it would then satisfy the geometrical fitting requirement of 3D bone scaffolds.




Fig. 1. Overview of Computer Aided Tissue Engineering [1]

In this paper, we have described in detail methods by which CAD models can be obtained from CT/MRI images through a number of different process paths. The following section describes the process paths to follow in the generation of CAD models from CT/MRI images specifically for the medical prototyping industry and tissue engineering applications. They are 1) MedCAD interface path; 2) Reverse Engineering path; 3) STL interface method. The selected case study demonstrates the ability and advantages of different process paths in the generation of tissue and bone morphologies. The third section details the applications for Bio-CAD modeling and specifically on how it fits in the tissue engineering scenario. This is followed by a summary of the work that has been described in the paper.

## 2. THREE-DIMENSIONAL RECONSTRUCTION FOR MEDICAL CAD MODELING

Our image-based CAD modeling and design approach begins with the acquisition of noninvasive images and its subsequent processing of appropriate bone region of interest. This is followed by a three-dimensional reconstruction of anatomical structure using commercially available medical reconstructive and reverse engineering software (MIMICS [9] and Geomagic [10]). We have defined here the following steps in the CAD generation process.

### Step 1: Image Acquisition, Processing and 3-D reconstruction

Our region of study involved the CT images obtained from that of a proximal femur bone of a small child. In all, 34 slice images were obtained each of 2mm sliced segmentation for a height of 68mm of the proximal femur bone. Once loaded into the software, all images were properly registered and aligned for its orientations. Next, the region of interest

was identified and a 3D voxel model of the bone under study made. As a first step, an appropriate threshold range was found that could best capture the relevant information contained in the femur. Using this threshold value, all pixels within this range were grown to a color mask and hence the segmentation process achieved by making use of region growing techniques available in the software. This color mask acts as the input to the 3-D reconstruction process. The process is depicted in Figure 2.




Fig. 2. Image Registration, 2-D segmentation, 3-D reconstruction process

#### Step 2: Reverse Engineering Based CAD model generation

A reverse engineering approach that converts 3D reconstructive image data to a NURBS-based CAD model is developed. We have evaluated and compared three different process paths for generating a CAD model from MRI/CT data as shown in Figure 3. The comparison and comments of these three paths are also listed in Table 1.

##### *Process Path 1: MedCAD interface*

This interface bridges the gap between medical imaging and CAD design software. This means that the interface can export data from the imaging system to the CAD platform and vice versa. The International Graphics Exchange Standard (IGES) format or the Standard for Exchange of Product (STEP) model can be used for models to be exported to CAD and the STL format used to import models from CAD to the MedCAD system interface. The interface provides for the fitting of primitives such as cylinders, planes, spheres etc on the CT image slices. It also provides us with the ability to model freeform shapes using B-spline surfaces. In our example, we have used both primitives and freeform shapes to model the femur bone. The process is as shown in Figure 4. It is important to realize that not all features or details of the image can be exported to CAD due to the limitation of this interface, particularly for features with complex geometry. Most likely if surface models are generated, then they need to be closed if a solid model is desired.

##### *Process Path 2: Reverse Engineering Method*

The 3D voxel model created after segmentation is used as the starting point for this method. The 3D voxel dataset of the bone structure is converted to point data form and then these points are loaded into any reverse engineering software. Commercially available software Geomagic Studios (Raindrop Inc) [15] was then used to process these data points for surface processing and refinement. This is perhaps the best approach that can be followed since the process starts from the base level, i.e., points. The imported points first needs to be cleaned of noise points and decimation of points maybe necessary depending on the number of points. The points are then triangulated to form a faceted model. Further surface refining and enhancement (Figure 5(c)-5(d)) is required to reduce file sizes and unwanted features maybe removed at this stage. To generate out the CAD model, it is necessary to model the bone using freeform surfaces. Figure 5(e)-5(f) show NURBS patches used to fit across the outer shape of the model. Although the process did have a comparatively longer processing time, the results obtained are significantly better than the other two methods. The CAD model is much more aesthetic and stable in configuration. The process when started from this level also reduces the error in data transfer formats and can reduce complication arising in CAD FEA analysis such as during the file import and meshing stage.




Fig. 3. Process Definition to arrive at a CAD model from CT/MRI data




Fig. 4. Surface model reconstruction using MedCAD interface




Fig. 5. From points to CAD

*Process Path 3: The STL interface.*

The 3D voxel model can also be converted to the STL file and this STL file can then be imported into Geomagic for surface refinement and NURBS surface generation. The difference between this and the point data method is that here a triangulated model is the input format rather than in point data form. The process time involved is less but may suit for only certain kinds of surfaces. This interface is more or less used when rapid prototypes of the desired medical components are so desired. It must be noted that this process is however not fool proof in conversion to CAD due to the inherent defects present in the STL representation. As seen in the Figure 6, the CAD model of the femur bone did not fully reconstruct well. This can however be corrected, if the surface is refined, leading to more triangle counts leading to bigger file sizes. This may not be an economical approach in the generation of CAD models; however for certain models that do not involve complicated features, this approach do present the best option to generate CAD models.




Fig. 6. Conversion from voxel model to CAD model via STL interface

Process	Qualities	File Size Comparisons	Overall
MEDCAD	Easiest and quickest, but may not be suitable for complex models.	Does not involve huge file sizes and only involves the IGES conversion process time. IGES : 266KB CAD (Pro-E) : 309KB	Poor
Reverse Engineering	A longer process but suitable for complex shapes since control is achieved at every level.	Initial file sizes in the point form are not high but final CAD model may involve comparatively higher file sizes. Point : 256KB (7732points) IGES : 266KB (102 NURBS patches) CAD (Pro-E) : 298KB	Best
STL method	Quick method to arrive at a CAD Model but may not work if triangulated surfaces contain errors.	Initial STL file size maybe high resulting in more CAD model IGES file size. STL : 1.82 MB (38252 triangles) IGES : 9.83MB (2316 NURBS patches) CAD (Pro-E) : 10.3MB	Average

Tab. 1. Process comparison – conversion from CT/MRI images to CAD of the proximal femur

As can be seen from Table 1, the second approach seems to be the best but however this can vary with model shapes and applications. The first method would generally be preferred when surface models are required because they provide the easiest approach to surface generation. The second approach, the reverse engineering based method is suitable for models if they need to be meshed provided a stable and accurate data transfer format is supported such as STEP or IGES. The third process is suitable when medical rapid prototypes need to be made since the .STL format remains to be the industry standard for medical rapid prototyping.

### 3. APPLICATIONS FOR BIO-TISSUE ENGINEERING

Once CAD models are generated and saved in an IGES or STEP format, these can then be used for a variety of other different design applications. For example, the reconstructed femur bone can be used to design patient specific hip implants using CAD software. These CAD models can also be used for FEA or dynamic force analysis using CAD based software. Fig 7(a) depicts a stress analysis performed on the femur bone using FEA software ABAQUS and Fig 7(b) gives an example to show how the CAD model of the hind foot reconstructed from CT/MRI images was used for dynamic force analysis using ADAMS. Fig 7(c) displays a sinus graft model designed based on CAD model reconstructions of the sinus region. All of these models were generated starting from points to NURBS surfaces followed using the reverse engineering approach. Complications may arise during the generation of meshes for FEA analysis and these can only be corrected by stronger surface refinement techniques and also ensuring that a closed model is always maintained.




Fig. 7(a). FEA analysis of Femur




Fig. 7(b). Dynamic analysis of hind foot




Fig. 7(c). Sinus graft model designed with the help of CAD

Bone tissue scaffolds need to have certain characteristics of their own in order to function as a true bone substitute that satisfies the biological, mechanical and geometrical constraints [5,15]. The approach presented here addresses the third requirement of anatomical fitting since the bone scaffold to be made is reconstructed from CT/MRI images of the area that needs to be filled. Example of how a basic unit cell was intersected within Geomagics software with a bone structure that needs to be replaced to form a tissue scaffold of the exact internal architecture and external anatomy is schematically illustrated in Figure 8(a). The model was then fabricated using 3D Printing solid freeform fabrication technique. Sample of a tissue scaffold is shown in Figure 8(b).

A biomimetic based design approach has been described in [5] where the mechanical properties of bone in terms of its Young's modulus have been retrieved from the CT images using a QCT based approach. A layer based CAD model is then developed with each layer associated with a definite property. The layers of the CAD model were then associated to specific unit cells with matching mechanical properties to generate a biomimetic bone structure. Figure 9 shows the layered bone model and its associated properties.




Fig. 8(a) : Example of using Boolean operation to achieve bone scaffold anatomical geometry

Fig. 8(b) – Fabrication of Scaffold




Fig. 9. Layered CAD model of femur structure with associated mechanical properties

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The authors have explored the bioengineering application of reverse engineering (RE) technology in converting CT/MRI based images to CAD models and have brought forward different process paths in which this can be achieved. Each process path selected depends on the particular application it is intended for. The MedCAD process path would be suitable in the generation of surface models or models that have less overall complexity. The Reverse engineering

interface would be selected when a solid model needs to be made and which would be used for FEA analysis. An iterative process of refining the surface may need to take place since mesh generation can fail in certain cases depending on the complexity of the outer surface. The STL interface is preferred when a rapid prototype of the model is needed for surgical planning or display. These Bio-CAD models can also be used for dynamic simulation to help in a better understanding of the biophysical property of the model under study. The developed approach would benefit in a better design of prostheses and implants. By working on actual rather than computational models, patient specific implants and prostheses can be designed that would last longer and be more comfortable.

This approach can aid in the development of biomimetic bone structures which in turn would be used in the design of heterogeneous scaffold structures. The CT/MRI image based reconstruction provides a technique in which the outer shape of the scaffold structure can be retrieved for appropriate anatomical fitting and compatibility. Once this is done, freeform fabrication of CAD models using the method of direct slicing [15] can be made use of so that these can be then transferred as process planning instructions to any one of the solid freeform fabrication technologies. Since CAD models are used as the basis for preparation, multi material scaffolds can also be fabricated for tissue engineering applications.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge partial funding supports from grants NSF-0219176, NSF/DARPA-0310619, and NSF-0427216 for this research.

## 6. REFERENCES

- [1] Sun, W., Darling, A., Starly, B, Nam, J., "Computer-Aided Tissue Engineering, Part I: Overview, Scope and Challenges", J. Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry, Vol. 39, Issue 1, 2004, pp. 29-47.
- [2] Hollister, S.J., Levy R., Chu T.M., Hollaran J.W., Feinberg S.E., "An image based approach for designing and manufacturing of craniofacial scaffolds", Int J of Oral Maxillofacial Surg, 2000;29:67-71
- [3] Lal, P., Sun, W., "Computer Modeling Approach for Microsphere-packed Bone Graft," Journal of Computer-Aided Design, Vol. 36, 2004, pp. 487-497.
- [4] Wang, F., Shor, L., Starly, B., Darling, A., Güçeri S., Sun W., "Fabrication of Cellular Poly-ε-Caprolactone (PCL) Scaffolds by Precision Extruding Deposition Process," Proceeding of 29<sup>th</sup> Northeastern Bioengineering Conference, 3/23 ~ 24, 2003, Newark, NJ, U.S.A.
- [5] Sun, W., Starly, B., Darling, A., Gomez, C., "Computer-Aided Tissue Engineering, Part II: Application to biomimetic modeling and design of tissue scaffolds," J. Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry, Vol. 39, Issue 1, 2004, pp. 49-58.
- [6] Matsumura, T., Sato-Matsumura KC, Yokota T, Kobayashi H, Nagashima K, Ohkawara A, "Three-dimensional reconstruction in dermatopathology -a personal computer-based system", J Cutan Pathol 1994;26:197-200.
- [7] Taguchi, M., Kohsuke Chida, "Computer reconstruction of the three-dimensional structure of mouse cerebral ventricles", Brain Research Protocols 12 (2003) 10–15.
- [8] Sun W., Lal P., "Recent development on computer aided tissue engineering – a review," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 67, 85-103 (2002).
- [9] MIMICS User Manual, Materialise, Leuven, Belgium, 2002.
- [10] GeoMagic User Manual, Raindrop Geomagic, Research Triangle, NC, USA, 2002.
- [11] Image User Manual, U.S. National Institutes of Health public domain, <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image>
- [12] Minns, R.J., Bibb, R., Banks, R., Sutton, R.A., "The use of a reconstructed three-dimensional solid model from CT to aid the surgical management of a total knee arthroplasty: a case study", Medical Engineering & Physics, 25;2003;523–526.
- [13] Harrysson, O.L.A., Cormier, D.R., Marcellin, D.J., "Direct Fabrication of Metal Orthopedic Implants Using Electron Beam Melting Technology", SFF symposium, Aug 4-6th, Austin, TX 2003
- [14] Starly, B., Lau, W., and Fang, Z., Sun, W. "“Biomimetic” Model for Heterogeneous Bone Scaffold", *Recent Development in Biomedical Engineering*, J Vossoughi (Editor), Medical and Engineering Publishers, 2002, pp.305-306.
- [15] Starly B., Lau A., Sun W., Lau W., Bradbury t., Youssef A., Gaylo C., "Direct Slicing of STEP Based NURBS Models for Solid Freeform Fabrication," Proceedings of 14th Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, 8/4-8/6, 2003, Austin, TX, USA.