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#### Abstract

In the product design stage, it is necessary to repeatedly perform Boolean operations to inspect whether the shapes of design products meet the requirements or not. When the involved models are complex triangular mesh models, the existing Boolean operations be performed in real time, which is important for trial and error at the product design phase. This paper uses the ray tracing technique to very quickly obtain sampled ray segments and perform Boolean operations between the sampled intervals, converting 3D model Boolean operations on mesh models into onedimensional Boolean operations on 1D intervals. The 1D Boolean operation result is finally rendered as an image to obtain the visual resultant effect of Boolean operation on triangular mesh models. The test results have shown that the proposed method is much faster than the commercial CAD package, i.e., Rhino and the state-of-theart method based on the LDI (Layered depth image) or LDNI (Layered depth-normal image), and can obtain the visual results of Boolean operation in real-time and have a potential application in simulation and CAD/CAM.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Boolean operation plays one important role in constructive solid modeling (CSG), which constructs complex models through a series of Boolean operations on simple primitives, which are usually represented as triangular mesh models. With the rapid development of 3D printing technology in recent years, manufacturing complex shaped models has become a reality. To model such complex shapes during the product design phase, Boolean operations will be repeatedly done on primitives and the results are preferred to be inspected in real time such that one can judge whether the involved primitives need be amended or not.

In some applications, due to the large number of models and the huge scale of the models, current techniques cannot support real-time Boolean operations on dense mesh models of millions of triangles, thereby limiting product design efficiency. To solve this problem, one ray tracing-based
method is proposed in the paper to sample 3D models as depth-orderly interval models efficiently, and transform 3D Boolean operation as 1D one. The results of 1D Boolean operation are rendered by image rendering technique. The above steps are defined as "Visual Boolean Operation", short for VBO. In this field, the most common method is using Surfel rendering [1] and layered depth image, i.e., LDI [17] technique, both of which sample the involved models as points with normal information. As graphic cards developed rapidly recently and ray tracing can be very efficiently performed [14] [21], the paper makes full use of the advantage to sample 3D triangular mesh models into ordered intervals and perform 1D Boolean operation parallelly. The major difference between the method proposed in the paper and the above two methods lie in the sampling step. The above two methods usually need much more time for dense mesh models, but in the proposed method, the sampling step can be significantly speeded up, achieving a real-time VBO result.

## 2 RELATED WORK

Boolean operation is heavily studied and the efficiency is a key bottleneck. The review in the paper focuses on how to improve the efficiency of Boolean operations. Boolean operations can be divided into approximate Boolean operations and exact Boolean operations. The boundary presentation (Brep) is the most commonly used for exact Boolean operations, in which the intersection calculation of the primitives and the extraction of the intersection loops takes much time, which is unacceptable at the design phase. In order to improve the efficiency, two methods, i.e., bounding volumes and spatial partitioning, are applied. The bounding volumes methods include axis-aligned bounding box (AABB) [2], oriented bounding box (OBB) [4], K-Dop [13], and bounding sphere [11]. For example, Qin et al. [16] used axis-aligned bounding box binary tree to accelerate the triangle-triangle intersection test. The collision detection among the models can be quickly completed by the bounding volumes methods. But a more accurate intersection test should be done to extract intersection loops. With the increase of the complexity of models, the detection efficiency of the bounding volumes method will decrease significantly. The spatial partitioning methods include octree[10, 15], BSP tree[3], kd-tree[8], and uniform grid[9]. The most popular method among them is the octree. The octree recursively divides the space into 8 subspace cubes, which can quickly locate the intersecting triangles among the mesh models. Douze et al.'s QuickCSG [8] uses the $k$ - $d$ tree index to accelerate and implements very high-speed Boolean operations between mesh models through parallel computing. Zhou [24] used winding numbers and combined BSP tree space division to propose a new Boolean operation method. This method performs Boolean operations on multiple models at the same time and eliminate self-intersections. Campen and Kobbelt [5] proposed a hybrid method that divides the BSP trees in the octant of the octree, which balanced the efficiency and memory consumption. In comparison to the bounding volumes method, space partitioning avoids unnecessary intersection tests and improves the overall efficiency of Boolean operations.

Approximate Boolean operation is based on volumetric representation. More explicitly, the mesh model is converted to a voxel model and then the Boolean operation is performed. After the Boolean operation is completed, the result is converted back to a mesh model. Via this method, the robustness has been improved. However, the accuracy of the method depends heavily on the resolution of the voxelization. The geometric details of the mesh models are inevitably lost in the converting procedure, especially in the regions where the intersections occur. For more accuracy, with the increasement of voxel resolution, the memory consumption will increase exponentially. Vardhan et al. [18] uses adaptive segmentation techniques to reduce the memory cost. Pavić et al. [15] performs Boolean operations by adopting polygonal and voxel hybrid presentation. This method uses an adaptive octree to generate voxels by surface-volume conversion only in the intersection areas of models. The amount of data will be reduced a lot compared with the method of volumetric representations. For non-intersected areas, B-rep is used so that the resultant mesh retains the original input geometric features of the model.

In addition to the above methods, some scholars also use Ray-rep to accelerate mesh Boolean operations. This type of methods often uses the image space technique of the LDI or layered depthnormal image (LDNI) [6] to accelerate Boolean operations. Chen et al. [7] used LDNI and topology
information to accelerate the inside/outside classification of the Boolean operation, which greatly improve the efficiency and performance. Wang et al. [6] used LDNI to discretize the model, determined the inside and outside of the sampling point cloud to obtain the Boolean operation result, and reconstruct it into a polygonal mesh through the dual contour method [12]. Wang's mesh/LDIhybrid representation [20] is an approximate Boolean operation that can keep the model features of the non-intersected areas. Another method proposed by Wang employs LDI to sample the model, and performs a membership classification based on the ray sampling results. The intersecting area is reconstructed in a voxel-based manner with the help of an octree to generate a new mesh model. Similar to this, Zhao et al. [25] adopted the compact LDI (CLDI) for ray sampling, and its memory consumption is much reduced compared with LDI and LDNI.

## 3 METHOD OUTLINE

The proposed algorithm can be outlined as three steps in Figure 1: 1) first, emit multiple rays from the pixel center of the current view plane in an orthogonal projection manner and sample the involved mesh models (see Figure 1(a)) as the ray interval models (see Figure 1(b)); 2) perform a onedimensional Boolean operation on the ray interval models to obtain the resultant interval model after 1D Boolean operations (see Figure 1.(c)); 3) render the kept points of Figure 1(c) and use the specified lighting environment and materials to render the result of Figure 1(c) as an image, representing the visual result of Boolean operation on mesh models of Figure 1(a). once the viewpoint changes or either of the involved model is modified, steps 1 to 3 will be re-executed.


Figure 1: The steps of the proposed method: (a) The input triangular mesh models for a "difference" Boolean operation (Dragon-Bunny), (b) Sample the input models as ray interval models by ray tracing, (c) Perform one-dimensional Boolean operation on the ray interval models, (d) Render the result of (c) as an image, which outputs the visual effect of the Boolean operation result of (a).

Note that the sampling procedure is performed using ray tracing technique, which can be very quickly performed by ray-tracing engine. In the sampling step, we do not use the octree method to recursively spatially split models as ray-tracing engines have implemented this acceleration data structure. The sampling resolution is set to be the current viewing window pixel resolution.

## 4 VISUAL BOOLEAN OPERATION

As mentioned before, the whole procedure of the proposed method consists of three steps, i.e., ray tracing sampling, 1D Boolean operation on ray-segment models and image rendering. Next come the detailed introductions of the three steps.

### 4.1 Ray Tracing Sampling

Different from the method of LDI [7, 17, 21, 23] or LDNI [6, 20, 24] , in which rasterized rendering for ray sampling is used, this paper uses a ray tracing method to sample models as ray intervals, which is much efficient. The involved models' bounding boxes will be first calculated and the union
region of their occupied area will be regarded as region of interests (ROI). For the ROI, a series of parallel rays will be emitted from the center of pixels of the screen and the hit points of the rays and the models are regarded as the sampling points, which are ordered by their depth values $t$. Figure 2 shows one YZ-plane-sampling slice on two models denoted as $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ when rays shoot along the $x$ axis.


Figure 2: Ray sampling on the $Y Z$ plane along the $X$ axis.

For a NVIDIA graphics card with RTX, a programmable ray tracing pipeline can perform ray tracing via three programs, i.e., ray generation, closest-hit, and miss-hit. Ray generation initializes one ray by Ray (orig, dir), where orig and dir represent the ray tracing start point and the direction of the tracing a ray, respectively. One sampling ray corresponds to one program fragment, which can be executed parallelly and efficiently. When one ray hits an intersection point, the closest-hit program fragment will be automatically called and the intersection point coordinate can be calculated in closest-hit program. The RTX's built-in ray triangle intersection program will quickly evaluate the barycentric coordinate ( $u, v$ ) of the intersection point in the triangle hit by the ray, and its corresponding cartesian coordinate $P$ can be calculated by Eq. (1) as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=u P_{1}+v P_{2}+(1-u-v) P_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are the coordinates of the vertices of the triangle hit by the ray. when a ray has no intersection points within the specified ray tracing range $t$, miss-hit is called to terminate the sampling procedure.

The sampling process is shown in Figure 3. After ray generation is executed, all rays will be traced. The acceleration structure will be traversed to determine whether a triangle intersects any ray. Within the specified ray tracing range [ $t_{\text {min }}, t_{\text {max }}$ ], once the intersection occurs, closest-hit will be carried out at the intersection point closest to orig. Next, update the ray start point orig to be the intersection point and continue the ray tracing along the direction dir, the emitting direction of the rays. Actually, a preset small positive offset $\varepsilon$ should be added to the hit point for the next ray tracing to avoid a dead loop. As shown in Figure 4, $\mathrm{R}_{i}$ should hit the triangle $T_{j}$ at point $P$, but the obtained point may be $P_{1}$ out of floating-point computing errors. Once $P_{1}$ is behind $P$ and updated as the new ray tracing start point, $R_{i}$ will hit $T_{i}$ again, resulting in a dead loop. Thus, a small number $\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon=10^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{5}$ in the paper) is added to $P$ obtaining a new point $P_{2}$, and $P_{2}$ will be used as a new starting point for ray tracing. The value of the ray tracing range is important: an unreasonable range will result in incomplete sampling or ray tracing failure. In Figure 2, the ray $R_{i}$ intersects the two mesh models $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ at $t_{1}, t_{3}$, and $t_{2}, t_{4}$, respectively; if the range $\left[t_{\text {min }}, t_{\text {max }}\right]$ is set to be $\left[t_{2}, t_{4}\right], R_{i}$ can only obtain the sampling points at $t_{2}, t_{3}$, and $t_{4}$ and the sampling point at $t_{1}$ will be lost as $t_{1}$ is out of the range of $\left[t_{2}, t_{4}\right]$, resulting in incomplete sampling. In order to obtain a complete sampling, $t_{\text {max }}$ can be easily set as an infinite positive number and one strategy should be devised to determine $t_{\text {min }}$. In the paper, each sampling model's bounding box is first calculated and ensure the value of $t_{\text {min }}$ and $t_{\text {max }}$ are set
to be outside of the bounding box, avoiding the incomplete sampling problem. when $\mathrm{R}_{i}$ hit any primitives at $t^{\prime}$, a positive offset $\varepsilon$ will be added to $t^{\prime}$ and continue ray tracing with a new ray tracing range, i.e., $\left[t^{\prime}+\varepsilon, t_{\text {max }}\right]$, preventing the ray from repeatedly sampling at the same position and falling into a dead loop. If no intersection occurs in the range, do miss-hit and stop ray tracing. The above sampling can be very quickly performed.


Figure 3: The flowchart of ray sampling by ray tracing.


Figure 4: Ray sampling with $\varepsilon$ offset.

### 4.2 1D Boolean Operation on Rays

Ideally, the involved primitives are watertight. In this case, for one ray, entry points and exit points appear alternately and the number of sampling points is even; when isolated edges or holes appear, odd number of sampling points may occur, leading to wrong inside/outside classifications and incorrect results of Boolean operations. The methods in the literatures [6][24] are both applicable to the situation where the number of sampling points on one ray is even. As the built-in Ray-Triangle intersection program of the ray tracing engine returns no intersections, when the emitted rays are coplanar to the sampling primitives and an odd number of sampling points will be generated. If a perspective projection is used, since the rays are not parallel to each other, the probability of the rays being tangent to the model will be much larger than that of orthogonal projection, which is used in the paper.

To conquer the failure of the inside/outside classification caused by the odd number of sampling points, this paper proposes a new scheme to determine the inside/outside classification of sampling points. As shown in Figure 5, it is assumed that the green and blue sampling points represent the sampling points of $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{b}}$, respectively. By judging the angle between the normal of the sampling point and the ray direction, the sampling point's entry/exit status can be defined. When the angle is acute, the point is an exit point and if the angle is obtuse, the point is an entry one. After determining the entry/exit statuses of hit points, the inside/outside classifications of one model's sampling points can be figured out with the help of the entry/exit statuses of their nearest neighboring sampling points of the other model. Take Figure 5 for an example, it is assumed that $P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ belong to $M_{a}$ and the other points $M_{b}$. Point $P_{2}$ is one exit point of $M_{\mathrm{a}}$, thus $P_{1}$ is located inside of $M_{\mathrm{a}}$. Point $P_{1}$ is one entry point of $M_{b}$, thus $P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ are located inside of $M_{b}$. Similarly, $P_{5}$ is outside of $M_{a}$ as
$P_{4}$ is the exit point of $M_{a}$. According to the rule, all sampling points' inside/outside classification can be easily decided.


Figure 5: The schematic diagram of inside/outside classification: the yellow arrow stands for the directions of normal vectors of the sampling points and the green and blue represent that they are sampled from different models.

Once the inside/outside step is completed, the sampling points of $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ can be kept or removed using the rule of Tab.1, and all kept sampling points can be image or Surfel rendered, representing the final visual result of Boolean operation.

| Operation | $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ Kept | $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ Kept |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{\mathrm{a}} \cap M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Inside $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Inside $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $M_{\mathrm{a}} \cup M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Outside $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Outside $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $M_{\mathrm{a}}-M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Outside $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Inside $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $M_{\mathrm{b}}-M_{\mathrm{a}}$ | Inside $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Outside $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ |

Table 1: The kept rules of sampling points.

### 4.3 Image Based Rendering

After 1D Boolean operation, the kept points can be rendered through the Surfel method or the imagebased rendering method. The Surfel method converts points to surfel and then renders them through rasterization [18]. Due to the limitation of sampling resolution, low-resolution sampling often needs to interpolate before rendering to obtain the correct intersection area visual effect [23]. In the paper, our focus is how to get the visual result in the least time. Therefore, we directly use ray tracing for image-based rendering and then employed OpenGL to show the rendered image with 2D texture. The image-based rendering method is adopted to render the final results out of its more excellent efficiency.


Figure 6: 1D Boolean result of $M_{b}-M_{a}$ at one slicing layer: (a)The ray sampling models of $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ at one slicing layer; (b) 1D Boolean result of $M_{b}-M_{a}$ at one slicing layer and only the circled points (the foremost point along each ray) will be rendered as one part of the final rendering image.

## 5 RESULTS

The method in [6] and the commercial package Rhino are adopted as benchmarks. The test models are all triangular mesh models listed in Tab.2. The tested PC is configured with Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4110 CPU @2.10ghz CPU $\times 2$, 64GB DDR4, NVIDIA Quadro P4000 graphics card and 8GB video memory.

Figure 7 shows the final visual effect (images) by the proposed algorithm. The difference of Dragon and Bunny is performed in Test 1 and the sampling intervals, the result of 1D Boolean operation and the rendered result are shown in the second row of Fig. 6(a); In Test 2 (see Figure $7(\mathrm{a})$ ), a cuboid subtracts 144 Flexes models; One product design in jewelry is presented in Test 3, in which one big ring subtracts 100 small rings and the results are shown in Fig. 6(c). The time consumption of Rhino, the proposed method and the method in [6] are all counted and the statistical data is listed in Table 2 - Table 4. Table 2 lists the triangle numbers of each involved mesh models. From Table 3, we can easily find that it is impossible to obtain a real time result by current commercial CAD package such as Rhino if one mesh model Boolean operation is directly done: in Test 3, it will take 32 seconds for Rhino, which cannot be accepted in product design phase as the Boolean operation will be repeatedly done to inspect the final product appearance and the real-time effect is a must. Thus, quick visual Boolean operation is one complementary step before doing real mesh Boolean operation. In Figure 7(c), the result of VBO can be directly rendered as one image with different materials, i.e., silver, gold, plastic and emerald.

For all the tests, the proposed method can obtain the visual result in the least time: at $512 \times 512$ resolution, the three tests can be done in $66 \mathrm{~ms}, 184.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ and 154 ms , respectively, which will not be perceptible (regarded as real time). As for the resolution $1024 \times 1024$, a high-resolution rendering image can be obtained instantly, i.e., $148.5 \mathrm{~ms}, 488 \mathrm{~ms}, 435 \mathrm{~ms}$, for the three tests, respectively. If LDNI [6] is done for visual Boolean operation, the proposed algorithm is much faster, as the sampling time in the paper is much shorter than that of the benchmark in [6]. The sampling time and 1D Boolean operation time by the proposed method and the method in [6] are illustrated in Table 4. Note that once the view window is update after rotation, zoom in/out or pan, the VBO will be executed again, updating the resultant rendered image. As the VBO can be done quickly, the rendered image can be updated in a real time.

| Test Mesh Models | Test 1 |  | Test 2 |  | Test 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dragon | Bunny | Cuboid | 144Flexes | Ring | 100Rings |
| Vertices | 50 K | 3.5 K | 0.7 K | 216 K | 1.2 K | 123 K |
| Triangles | 100 K | 6.9 K | 1.2 K | 265 K | 2 K | 209 K |

Table 2: The tested models' triangle number

| Resolution | Rhino(sec) | LDNI $(\mathrm{ms})$ | Ray tracing $(\mathrm{ms})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Test $1 / 2 / 3$ | Test $1 / 2 / 3$ | Test $1 / 2 / 3$ |
| $128 \times 128$ |  | $399.5 / 471 / 408$ | $15.2 / 24.3 / 28$ |
| $256 \times 256$ |  | $425.0 / 477 / 454$ | $28.8 / 60.8 / 60$ |
| $512 \times 512$ | $1.3 / 15 / 32$ |  | $495.7 / 525 / 490$ |
| $1024 \times 1024$ |  |  | $664.2 / 716 / 706$ |

Table 3: The time cost by the benchmarks and the proposed algorithm.


Figure 7: The visual results of VBO by the proposed method: (a) The sampling model and the result of VBO for Test 1; (b) The VBO result of Test 2; (c) The VBO result of Test 3 and it is rendered with different materials including silver, gold, plastic and emerald.

| Resolution | LDNI (ms) |  | Ray tracing $(\mathrm{ms})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sampling | $1 D$ Boolean | Sampling | 1D Boolean |
| $128 \times 128$ | 398 | 1.5 | 15.25 | Less than 1 |
| $256 \times 256$ | 419.75 | 5.25 | 27.75 | 1 |
| $512 \times 512$ | 487.5 | 8.25 | 63 | 3 |
| $1024 \times 1024$ | 645 | 19.25 | 138 | 9.5 |

Table 4: The sampling and 1D Boolean operation time by [6] and the proposed method.
Since rays are emitted from the center of each pixel of the screen, the accuracy of the proposed visual Boolean operations is at pixel level. As shown in Figure 8(a), Dragon and Armadillo perform an intersection operation, and the result can be shown as a full view in Figure 8(b) or as different zooming-in views as in Figure 9(c)-(d). better visual effects can be obtained by bringing the viewpoint closer to the model, all of which are at pixel accuracy level. Once the view is updated after zoom/pan/rotate, the visual Boolean operation will be repeatedly executed to obtain a new rendered image, a visual resultant effect.


Figure 8: The visual results of VBO (Dragon $\cap$ Armadillo): (a) The visual result in a full view, (b) The result of the full view, (c) The visual result when zooming in to the particular parts bounded by the red rectangle in (b), (d) The visual result when zooming in to the particular parts bounded by the red rectangle in (c).

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

Aiming at the problem that Boolean operations on large-scale mesh models cannot be quickly performed in the design phase. The paper proposes one ray tracing-based method to achieve the real-time visual Boolean operation result, which samples involved models as ray interval models and 1D Boolean operation, defined as "Visual Boolean operation" is done to show the visual result of Boolean operation. In this paper, the ray tracing technique is used to acquire a ray segment model, which can be very quickly done by a third-party ray tracing engine. The results show that the proposed method can achieve visual Boolean operation in real time, which is of a significant sense in designing complex shapes by lots of Boolean operations.
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